Management of the ‘Normal’ Appendix during Laparoscopy for Right Iliac Fossa Pain
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ABSTRACT

The advent of laparoscopic surgery raises the question of what to do with a normal appendix at laparoscopy for right iliac fossa pain of uncertain origin. We assess the views of all members of the Association of Laparoscopic Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland (ALSGBI) via means of a survey. Of 135 responses to the questionnaire 61% of surgeons remove a macroscopically normal appendix and 26% do not. 13% of surgeons do not commit. Of those removing a normal appendix the most common reasons given were the possibility of endoluminal appendicitis (87%) and avoiding future confusion as to whether the appendix had been removed (64%). When asked whether there were sufficient guidelines on this topic 68% said no, 6% said yes and 5% were unsure. 16% said that it was a matter for common sense rather than guidelines and 5% felt that the evidence was contradictory. This study highlights a lack of consensus in the management of a normal appendix found at laparoscopy for right iliac fossa pain and demonstrates most surgeons feel guidelines would be useful. In the absence of guidelines the options may be discussed with the patient before operation.
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INTRODUCTION

The lifetime risk of developing appendicitis in the USA is 8.6% for men and 6.7% for women.1 In the era of open appendicectomy 15-30% of appendices removed were normal.2,3 Scoring systems have been put forward as an aid to diagnosis.4 Ultrasound is user dependent and while computed tomography may be diagnostic it involves exposure to radiation.

There is a lack of consensus in the literature over what to do with a normal appendix. The main argument for removing a normal appendix is that endoluminal appendicitis may not be recognized at operation leading to concern that an abnormal appendix is left in place. This may result in the need for subsequent appendicectomy.5,6 Endoluminal appendicitis is reported in 11-58% of apparently normal appendices removed.5,7,8 Navez argues that since endoluminal appendicitis is confined to the mucosa it does not cause localized pain and questions whether there is progression to suppurative appendicitis.7 Many patients are unsure as to whether their appendix has been removed. Murphy et al 2001 reported that of 176 patients who had laparoscopy for right iliac fossa pain 61% were under the misapprehension that their appendix had been removed.9 They suggest that the removal of a normal appendix adds little to the morbidity of laparoscopy and that the appendix should be removed regardless of its appearance at laparoscopy.10 This is supported by studies where incidental appendicectomy has been performed during total abdominal hysterectomy11 and cystectomy.12 However other studies report increased complications following the removal of a normal appendix.13-17

Data on follow-up of patients who have not had their appendix removed suggest that it is safe to leave a normal appendix in place. Van den Broek et al 2000 reported a prospective study of 109 diagnostic laparoscopies for suspected appendicitis where the appendix was left in place if it looked normal. There were 9 readmissions with only one requiring an appendicectomy.18 Van Dalen et al 2003 reported a prospective randomised study of 63 patients with 10 years follow-up. Patients found at laparoscopy to have a normal appearing appendix were randomised to laparoscopy + open appendicectomy or laparoscopy only. No patients in the diagnostic laparoscopy group developed appendicitis subsequently.19 Teh et al 2000 reported that of 41 patients who had laparoscopy alone for a normal appendix 13 continued to have symptoms and 2 eventually had their normal appendix removed.20

In this study we assess the practice of members of ALSGBI and their views on whether guidelines are desirable.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A literature review was performed using the Pubmed database and searching using the words laparoscopy and normal appendix.
A questionnaire was sent to 588 members of ALSGBI (Table 1)

**RESULTS**

135 (23%) responded to the questionnaire. 134 answered all questions, of which 98% currently perform laparoscopic appendicectomy. 62% perform laparoscopy for right iliac fossa pain mostly in females and 4% only in females. 4% perform laparoscopy mostly in males and for 28% sex does not affect the decision. 2% were unsure of the sex distribution of their patients.

61% of surgeons remove a macroscopically normal appendix at laparoscopy for right iliac fossa pain of unknown origin and 26% do not. 13% of surgeons do not commit either way.

Of those removing a normal appendix the most common reasons given were the possibility of endoluminal appendicitis (87%) and to avoid future confusion as to whether the appendix was removed (64%). Some (44%) remove a normal appendix to prevent future appendicitis for which there is no evidence.

68% felt there were no adequate guidelines for surgeons to follow. However since the available evidence is contradictory it does not at present allow the formulation of clear guidelines. The 16% who said that clinical judgment was more important are justified in their view.

This leaves the question of what should be done to satisfy the demand for clear guidelines found in this survey. A large prospective randomized multicenter clinical trial would provide the evidence but would prove logistically difficult and if patients were to be blinded to their operation an accessible data base would be mandatory. Possibly a more feasible study (admittedly with a lower level of evidence) would be a large multicenter study on the long-term follow-up of all patients who have had a normal appendix left in situ after diagnostic laparoscopy for right iliac fossa pain as previous similar studies have low numbers of patients making it difficult to form conclusions.

Meanwhile it is suggested that in the absence of guidelines the options are discussed with the patient before the procedure and that they are made fully aware that there are arguments for both removing and leaving the appendix.

In conclusion, this study shows that there is a lack of consensus in the management of a normal appendix found at laparoscopy for right iliac fossa pain and most surgeons feel that guidelines would be useful. In the absence of guidelines the options may be discussed with the patient before their operation as part of the consent procedure.
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